

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,936	HIMMELE, TRISTAM W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica T Stultz	2873

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jessica T Stultz.

(3) _____.

(2) Clifford Kraft.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004

Time: Afternoon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 11, 13, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed that claims 11-18 filed August 30, 2004 were in error. Instead, claims 11-18 should be the previously amended claims 11-18 of Preliminary Amendment dated September 25, 2003, which were the claims examined by the examiner in the office action of April 1, 2003.